Manipulation under anaesthesia with local intracapsular steroid injection in treatment of frozen shoulder
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Abstract

Aims and Objective: Adhesive capsulitis of shoulder (frozen shoulder) is a condition characterized by pain, stiffness and restriction of movement of shoulder joint. The present study was carried out to assess the role of manipulation under anaesthesia along with intracapsular steroid injection in treatment of frozen shoulder. Material and Methods: The present study is a prospective study carried out in the department of Orthopedics, Ananta Institute of Medical Sciences, Rajsamand, Rajasthan during the period of 6 months from October 2018 to March 2019. 30 patients of unilateral idiopathic adhesive capsulitis (Frozen shoulder) who were symptomatic and not responding to physiotherapy were included in the study. All the patients underwent manipulation under anaesthesia and then intracapsular steroid injection was given via posterior approach. Patients were followed up regularly and reassessment using VAS (Visual analogue scale) and PROM (Passive Range of Motion) was done at 3 months after the procedure. Results: Out of 30 patients included in the study, 18 (60%) were female and 12 (40%) were male. The age of the patients ranges from 20 years to 70 years with the mean age of 46.81 years. Non dominating hand was involved in 23 cases (76.66%). VAS score and degree of PROM were measured before and 3 months after the treatment. Conclusion: In present study, VAS score as well as PROM were significantly improved 3 months after the treatment of frozen shoulder with manipulation under anaesthesia along with intracapsular steroid injection. So, this treatment modality can be used as a safe and effective method to reduce pain and stiffness in frozen shoulder.
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Introduction

Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder (Frozen shoulder) is a condition characterized by pain and global restriction of the movement with loss of external rotation [1]. Clinically, the disease is divided into three consecutive stages: 1. Painful phase, in which there is inflammation of shoulder joint and pain in movement but no strict restriction in range of motion; 2. Stiffness phase, in which the pain is less pronounced but limitation of range of motion in all planes and 3. Recovery phase, in which there is gradual return of range of motion.

The whole disease course may last up to 1 to 3 years [2,3]. Women of age 40 to 60 years are more commonly involved. The non-dominant arm is more frequently involved. It is more common in persons with sedentary lifestyle than in persons who are physically active [4,5]. The patients of frozen shoulder usually present with progressive pain of the shoulder for days to months followed by restrictions in the range of motion of the shoulder. Usually the range of motion decreases in following order: external rotation, abduction, internal rotation and forward flexion [6, 7].

The pathophysiology of frozen shoulder is believed to be started with inflammation of joint capsule and synovial fluid followed by reactive fibrosis and adhesions of the synovial lining of the joint [6, 8].

The diagnosis of frozen shoulder is made on the basis of medical history, clinical and radiological examination and exclusion of other shoulder pathology. There is no fixed regimen for the management of frozen shoulder. Various treatment modalities include conservative
treatment with physiotherapy, exercises, NSAIDs and opioid drugs, intra-articular steroids and hyaluronic acid injection, suprascapular nerve block and manipulation under anaesthesia (MUA), open arthroscopic release with or without MUA. Many studies have been done in the past on the treatment of frozen shoulder by MUA and all have suggested a very good result with the treatment [9-13]. The present study was carried out to assess the results obtained by treatment of frozen shoulder by MUA with intracapsular steroid injection.

Material and Methods

Setting: The present study is a prospective study carried out in the department of Orthopedics, Ananta Institute of Medical Sciences, Rajsamand, Rajasthan during the period of 6 months from October 2018 to March 2019.

Type of study: Qualitative

Study population: 30 patients of unilateral idiopathic adhesive capsulitis (Frozen shoulder) who were symptomatic and not responding to physiotherapy were included in the study.

Detailed clinical history was taken and proper general examination was done in all the cases.

X rays in AP plane, in internal rotation and in axillary plane were also taken to exclude any other pathology of the shoulder. Ultrasound and MRI were also done in all the cases.

The inclusion criteria were:
- Age > 18 years and <70 years
- Painful restriction of the passive range of motion (PROM) in the glenohumeral joint of ≥ 30° in external rotation and at least a second plane of movement (forward flexion, abduction or internal rotation) with ≥ 30° restriction (when compared to the contra-lateral unaffected side)
- Patients not responded to conventional physiotherapy.

The exclusion criteria were:
- Onset of symptoms ≥ 1 year ago
- Bilateral disease
- Tumor, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis or any other pathology of the shoulder joint

Results

30 patients of unilateral idiopathic adhesive capsulitis (Frozen shoulder) who were symptomatic and not responding to the conventional therapy were included in the study. The study was carried out during the period of 6 months from October 2018 to March 2019.
Out of 30 patients, 18 (60%) were female and 12 (40%) were male. The age of the patients ranges from 20 years to 70 years with the mean age of 46.81 years. Non-dominating hand was involved in 23 cases (76.66%). VAS (visual analogue scale) score and degree of PROM (passive range of motion) were measured before and 3 months after the treatment. The results are depicted in Table 1.

### Table 1: Comparison of clinical parameters before and after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Clinical parameter</th>
<th>Before treatment (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>3 months after treatment (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>VAS score</td>
<td>8.1±1.2</td>
<td>4.3±1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>PROM (°)</td>
<td>Flexion 119.5±15.3</td>
<td>160.2±17.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abduction 107.7±11.8</td>
<td>159.5±13.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>External rotation 33.8±9.0</td>
<td>77.2±12.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Rotation 22.1±7.7</td>
<td>43.6±9.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In present study, the VAS score before starting the treatment was 8.1±1.2 which was reduced to 4.3±1.0 when measured 3 months after the treatment. Also, the degree of range of motion was improved very much in all the movement viz flexion, abduction, external rotation and internal rotation (Table 1). The results suggested that combined therapy using MUA along with intra-articular steroid injection is an effective method to treat frozen shoulder.

### Discussion

There is no fixed regimen for the management of frozen shoulder. Various treatment modalities with variable results are available including conservative treatment with physiotherapy, exercises, NSAIDs and opioid drugs, intra-articular steroids and hyaluronic acid injection, suprascapular nerve block and manipulation under anaesthesia (MUA), open arthroscopic release with or without MUA. In present study we used MUA and intra-articular steroid injection for management of frozen shoulder and assessed the results by measuring improvement in VAS score and PROM.

The present study included 30 patients with 18 (60%) female and 12 (40%) male patients. The mean age of the patients was 46.81 years. Non-dominating hand was involved in 76.66% of the cases. The results were in favor of similar studies done in the past [15, 16].

B J Van Royen and P W Pavlov conducted a study on 22 patients of frozen shoulder to assess the results of treatment by distension and manipulation under local anaesthesia. In their study, 15 cases (68%) were female and 7 cases (32%) were male, mean age of the patients was 48 years and non-dominating hand was involved in 55% of cases [15]. Similar study conducted by T A Hamdan and K A AL Essa on 100 patients of frozen shoulder to compare the treatment results of saline versus steroid injection has similar observations about age of presentation, sex involved and affected limb [16]. In present study, VAS score as well as PROM were significantly improved 3 months after the treatment of frozen shoulder with manipulation under anaesthesia along with intracapsular steroid injection. The VAS score before starting the treatment was 8.1±1.2 which was reduced to 4.3±1.0 when measured 3 months after the treatment. Also, the degree of range of motion was improved very much in all the movement viz flexion, abduction, external rotation and internal rotation (Table 1). So this treatment modality can be used as a safe and effective method to treat frozen shoulder.

Many studies have been done in the past to assess the role of MUA in management of frozen shoulder and suggested that MUA is very effective in treatment of frozen shoulder that were not responsive to conventional treatment [9-13].

Dodenhoff R et al carried out a study to assess the role of MUA for the management of primary frozen shoulder and found that after 3 months follow up, 59% (29 shoulders) were rated as having no or mild disability, 28.2% (11 shoulders) as having moderate degree of disability and 12.8% (5 shoulders) were having severe degree of disability. They recommended the use of MUA in primary frozen shoulder for early recovery [9]. Hill J et al also studied the role of MUA for the management of frozen shoulder and concluded that manipulation allowed the patients under study to return to their normal lifestyle much sooner than the
reported natural history of this condition would indicate. In their retrospective study, they found that out of 17 shoulders in 15 patients who were not able to perform their daily work because of their shoulder problem, 70% of them returned to their normal work within an average period of 2.6 months after MUA [10].

A similar study was done by Kivimaki J et al in 2001 to assess the effect of MUA with and without steroid injection and concluded that MUA is an effective method to manage symptoms in frozen shoulder and use of intra-articular steroid injection did not enhance the effect of manipulation [12].

Similarly, many studies have been done in the past to assess the role of intra-articular injection of corticosteroid for idiopathic frozen shoulder with or without physiotherapy, and all studies suggested that intra-articular steroid injection is an effective method to treat symptoms in frozen shoulder [17-23]. Ahmad I et al carried out a study to assess the role of intra-articular steroid in frozen shoulder.

They injected the patients with 80 mg of methylprednisolone and advised them to continue active ROM exercises. The patients were followed up at six weeks and twelve weeks and results were assessed and compared in terms of VAS score and ROM.

The average VAS score was 7.5 at the beginning of treatment which was improved to 3 at the end of follow up. The range of motion was also improved; abduction from 60° to 95° (average gain 35°) and internal rotation from 20° to 40° (average gain 20°) [17].

Jacobs LG et al studied to compare MUA and intra-articular steroid in management of frozen shoulder and found that both are effective in the management of frozen shoulder [24].

The present study concluded that combination of MUA and intra-articular steroid can be used for the management of frozen shoulder with very good results in terms of improved pain score and range of motion.

**Conclusion**

Manipulation under anaesthesia is an effective method to manage the symptoms of idiopathic adhesive capsulitis of shoulder joint (frozen shoulder) especially in the cases where conservative management has been failed to resolve the symptoms. The use of intracapsular corticosteroid injection can further enhance the effect of MUA by decreasing inflammation and fibrosis.

Lastly, we suggest further studies with larger number of patients and more management methods to compare so as to achieve improvement the diagnosis and management of frozen shoulder.

**What this study adds to existing knowledge?**

Till now, so many treatment modalities had been tried for the management of frozen shoulder around the world. This include conservative management with physiotherapy and exercises, NSAIDs and opioid analgesics, intra-articular steroid and/or hyaluronic acid injections, supraclavicular nerve block, manipulation under anaesthesia (MUA) and open arthroscopic release with or without MUA. Different institute have different regimen for its management with variable results. In present study, we used a combined therapy of intra-articular steroid injection with MUA for the management of frozen shoulder and we got very good results with this. We did not found any literature about the use of this combined therapy in the past and after the results of this study, we can say that this is a good alternative for the management of frozen shoulder. Further studies with larger number of study population are desirable.
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