Clinical study of liver abscess
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Abstract

Background: The aim of our study was to study general considerations, etiological and predisposing factors, symptoms and signs and various modalities of treatment of liver abscess.

Methods: We have taken 60 cases having proven liver abscess. All data collected from these cases was compared statistically. A predesigned proforma was used to collect this information for individual case. All selected cases were studied upto discharge regarding the type of liver abscess and treatment modalities.

Results: Amoebic liver abscesses were more common than pyogenic liver abscesses. Liver abscesses were more common in 5th decade followed by 6th decade. Liver abscesses were more common in males than females; Diabetes mellitus (35%) and Alcoholism (23.3%) were the most common predisposing factor in our study. Single abscess was a finding in 71.66% and multiple abscess in 28.33% of patients.

Conclusion: The modern day ultrasound and other non-invasive imaging techniques had greatly revolutionized the diagnosis and management of the liver abscess. Conservative management with IV antibiotics and USG guided percutaneous aspiration of liver abscess are most frequent treatment modalities used now; with fewer complications.
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Introduction

Liver abscess remains a formidable diagnostic and therapeutic problem, but significant studies have occurred in the management over the past decades. Delay in diagnosis remains a major determinant of the severity of the illness and outcome in amoebic and pyogenic liver abscesses. Lack of familiarity with the clinical feature of these conditions on the part of clinician and failure to consider the diagnostic are among the most important factor contributing to continued morbidity and mortality [1].

Abscess formation with in the liver occurs in variety of circumstances and in response to different agents. Abscess of the liver may be pyogenic or parasitic in origin. With introduction to antibiotics, the incidence of pyogenic abscess of the liver has decreased to a greater extent. Liver abscess in the most common extraintestinal manifestation of amoebiasis. Hepatic amoebiasis is reported in 3-10% of afflicted patients. The incidence is high in tropical countries and is attributed to lack of proper sanitation and personal hygiene due to low socioeconomic conditions [2].

Pyogenic and amoebic liver abscess share many clinical features. Clinically the first diagnostic requirement is the demonstration of an abscess followed by demonstration of its nature. Until recently the diagnosis of liver abscess was dependent upon variable clinical criteria, characteristics of pus aspirated from abscess cavity or on a clinical response to appropriate chemotherapy. With the advent of imaging techniques such as ultrasound, CT scan, serological tests the diagnosis of liver abscess can be made early, rapidly and accurately. The management of hepatic abscess has been greatly influenced by advances in diagnostic imaging and interventional radiology [3,4].

Several factors such as different strains of E. histolytica, the patient susceptibility alcoholism and malnutrition predispose to the disease. Though a readily treatable disease; if untreated can be potentially fatal leading to serious life complications like rupture into pleural, peritoneal or pericardial cavities.

Despite considerable attempts to distinguished two entities at the bedsides, no reliable clinical features exists that are specific for amoebic versus pyogenic liver abscesses.
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hepatic abscess [5,6]. The present study delves into etiology, clinical presentation; diagnostic; various risk factors; management & complications of liver abscess.

**Materials and Methods**

**Type of study:** Prospective

**Method of collection of data:** 60 cases of liver abscess selected randomly and studied. All data collected from this cases was compared statistically. A predesigned proforma was used to collect this information for individual case. All selected cases were studied upto discharge regarding the type of liver abscess and treatment modalities and followed up in OPD regarding post operative complications.

**Selection of cases**

**Inclusion criteria:** Patients with history and diagnostic features suggestive of liver abscess and its complication of age group 15 to 60 years of both male and female. Should have a liver abscess

**Exclusion criteria:** Liver disease like alcoholic hepatitis, viral hepatitis other than liver disease Liver abscess not detected on examination or radiologically. Patients who are not willing for specific investigations like USG, CT and aspiration of the abscess.

**Statistical Analysis:** The data of the present study were fed into the computer and after its proper validation, checking for error, coding and decoding were compiled and analysed with the help of SPSS 11.5 software for windows. Appropriate univariate and bivariate analysis and ANOVA (analysis of variance) for more than two means were carried out using t-test, calculated and tested. All means are expressed as mean + standard deviation. The critical values for the significance of the results were considered at 0.05 levels.

**Material**

1. Portable ultrasound unit: All the sonography procedures were performed with real time ultrasound guidance.
2. Antibiotics-
3. Aspiration needles:
4. Trolley settings:
5. Laparoscopic trolley

**Methods:** Diagnosis of liver abscess was done with help of clinical examination, x-ray and was confirmed by ultrasonography. In some patients CT scan was used. Various treatment modalities for liver abscess used according to multiple factors such as site of abscess, size of abscess, pyogenic or amoebic, single or multiple. Specific criteria were made for modality of treatment to be used. After confirmation specific antibiotics was started.

According to specific criteria-
1. Conservative,
2. Percutaneous ultrasound guided needle aspiration
3. Ultrasound guided pigtail catheter drainage

**Indications for Conservative management:**
1. Abscess size less than/or equal to 5cm.
2. Right lobe abscess
3. Abscess responding to antibiotics within 72 hours.

**All patients of amoebic liver abscess were given antibiotics as under.**

Inj. Metronidazole 1000mg TDS IV (double Dose) For seven to fourteen days and followed by oral antibiotics. Tab. Ciprofloxacin 500mg BD. Metronidazole 400 mg TDS

**All patients of pyogenic liver abscess were given antibiotics as under**

Inj. Ceftriaxone 1gm. BD IV. For seven days
Inj. Metronidazole 500mg TDS IV for seven to fourteen days and followed orally Tab. Metronidazole 400mg TDS

After discharge, oral metronidazole was continued for 2-3 weeks depending on the regression

**Indication for aspiration of abscess**

1. Lack of improvement with subsidence of symptoms and signs in 72 hrs.
2. Abscess size more than 5 cm.
3. Large left lobe abscess
4. Multiple liver abscess

**Laparoscopic drainage of liver abscess**- Laparoscopic drainage of liver abscess can be done if any of the following criteria are present in a patients

1. Abscess that are not amenable to percutaneous drainage secondary to location
2. Coexistence of intra-abdominal disease that requires operative management
3. Concomitant biliary/intra- abdominal disease
4. Failure of percutaneous aspiration
5. Failure of percutaneous drainage
Open Surgical Drainage- In 2 patients open surgical drainage done due to rupture of liver abscess in peritoneal cavity; where typical transperitoneal approach is used. Abdomen opened with vertical midline incision.

All pus aspirated, warm saline wash given. Hemostasis confirmed; abdominal drain no 32 kept and secured. Closed in layers. Review USG done for each patient on post op day 3. Tube drain removed when output becomes minimal (<50cc.)

Indication for indwelling pigtail drainage of liver abscess
1. Liver abscess size more than 10 cm.
2. Liver abscess not responding to repeated USG guided aspiration.
3. Communicating abscesses or irregular cavities where dependent drainage of each abscess individually was not possible.
4. Thick / Viscous pus content of the cavity which was not amenable for aspiration.

Results
- We have taken 60 cases from the wards of tertiary care centre having proven liver abscess. Amoebic liver abscesses were more common than pyogenic liver abscesses.
- The ratio of amoebic to pyogenic liver abscess was 1.72:1 (38 patients of amoebic liver abscess and 22 patients of pyogenic liver abscess.) Liver abscesses were more common in 5th decade followed by 6th decade. Liver abscesses were more common in males than females; male to female ratio was 7.57:1 (53 males and 7 females).
- Diabetes mellitus (35%) and Alcoholism (23.3%) were the most common predisposing factor in our study. Pain in abdomen was most consistent symptoms with 100% of patients followed by fever in 90%. Anorexia was present in 66.66% and malaise in 40%.
- Nausea, cough, jaundice was present in <20% cases Right hypochondriac tenderness was the most persistent symptom with 93.33% followed by Pyrexia (>100F') in 70% cases. Tachycardia (Pulse >100/min) was present in 58.33%
- Leucocytosis (wbc>10000) was present in 61.66% as most consistent finding on blood investigations. SGOT/SGPT were increased in nearly 50% of cases.
- Anaemia (Hb<10mg/dl) was found in 45% and deranged PT in 35% of cases. Single abscess was a finding in 71.66% and multiple abscess in 28.33% of patients.

After studying sixty patients of liver abscess from ward of tertiary care centre, following observations has been made.

Table-1: predisposing and etiological agents in liver abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Predisposing and etiological agents</th>
<th>No of cases N=60</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Biliary tract disease</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal tract pathology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alcoholism</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No cause (idiopathic)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study age wise distribution for liver abscess was highest in fifth decade of life (36.66%) followed by six decade with 25% and then by fourth decade (16.66%). Mean age in our study was 43.9 years. In our study Liver abscess is more preponderant in males than female as male are affected in 88.33% cases.

Table-2: Type of liver abscess

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Type of Liver abscess</th>
<th>No of case</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Amoebic abscess</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>63.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pyogenic abscess</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our study data analysis shows that liver abscess is most common in patients of Diabetes Mellitus (35%) followed by Alcoholics. Which clearly indicates that Amoebic abscess is a disease of developing countries with low socioeconomic conditions.
Table 3: Symptoms of liver abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symptoms of liver abscess are variable and cause difficulty in diagnosis. In our study pain in abdomen was most consistent symptom (100%) followed by fever in 90% cases. Anorexia was present in 66.6% cases. Cough, Diarrhea and jaundice were present in some patients with <20%.

Signs of liver abscess are not specific and it is difficult to arise at diagnosis only with clinical examination. However, following signs when present should arouse a suspicion of liver abscess.

Table 4: Signs of liver abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temp&gt;100f</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pulse&gt;100/min</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Icterus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Right hypochondriac</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>93.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Respiratory signs*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consolidation, Crepitations, Decrease air entry

Ultrasoundography Findings

Table 5: Alobes of liver affected-(site of abscess).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>USG findings</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Solitary abscess</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Right lobe</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>61.66% (86.04%*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Left lobe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10% (13.95%*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Multiple abscess</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Right lobe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21.66% (76.47%#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Both lobe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>06.66% (23.52%#)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Size of Abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Size of abscess</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Up to 5 cm</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 cm to 10 cm</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11 cm to 15 cm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 cm to 20 cm</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>03.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our study shows that liver abscess was present in 71.66% cases as solitary liver abscess while 28.33% cases present with multiple liver abscess. Our study shows right lobe (61.66%) is more commonly affected than left lobe (10%). Both lobes were affected in 6.66% of cases. In our study most of the patients of liver abscess were of size between 6 to 10 cm. We performed CBC, LFT and PT of each patient on the day of admission and then when required. None of the liver function tests were diagnostic for liver abscess. However leucocytosis was the most consistent laboratory finding in our study with 61.66% cases. Minimum count was 12000/dl and maximum was 27000/dl. 45% of patients were having anaemia with Hb<10mg/dl. Albumin was less than 3mg/dl in 43.3% while PT was deranged in nearly 1/3rd patients.

Table 6: Different modalities of treatment of liver abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Type of treatment</th>
<th>No. of cases n=60</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Per cutaneous USG guided aspiration</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Per cutaneous USG guided drainage</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Laparoscopic drainage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>08.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Open surgical drainage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>03.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Complication of Liver Abscess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No of cases N=60</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Septicemic shock</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Injury to surrounding structures</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Infection at drain site</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rupture into peritoneal cavity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Patients of liver abscess were studied for general parameters, etiological and predisposing factors, symptoms, signs, laboratory findings, radiological findings and various treatment modalities. Follow up of every patient was kept.

Rahimian J, Wilson T et al studied pyogenic liver abscess. They reviewed the data for patients over a 10-year period. The most common symptoms were fever, chills, and right upper quadrant pain or tenderness. The most common laboratory abnormalities were an elevated white blood cell count. Seventy percent of the abscesses were in the right lobe, and 77% were solitary. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was identified in 41% of cases in which a pathogen was recovered. The data suggest that *K. pneumoniae* has become the predominant etiology of pyogenic liver abscess and that mortality from this disease has decreased substantially [6,7]. Seeto RK, RockeyDCet al studied changes in etiology, management, and outcome of pyogenic liver abscess. Pyogenic liver abscess [PLA] remains most common in older patients, affected male and female patients with...
equal frequency. The most common known cause of PLA remains biliary tract disease, but the majority of patients with PLA were those in whom no underlying cause of PLA could be identified. Single PLA was more common than multiple PLA regardless of etiology. In this study, percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) appeared to result in a higher cure rate than percutaneous needle aspiration (PNA) Surgical intervention as a primary mode of therapy has been almost completely replaced by less invasive approaches such as PCD/ PNA, but remains an important consideration in patients who fail these therapies. Although PLA was once considered a fatal disease, the prognosis is now excellent. Their findings were consistent with our study [7].

Siu LK, Yeh KM et al observed that rapid detection of the hypervirulent strain that causes this syndrome allows earlier diagnosis and treatment, thus minimising the occurrence of sequelae and improving clinical outcomes. The role of anaerobic bacteria in the etiology of pyogenic liver abscess was not fully recognized. In 11 years Sabbaj J, Sutter VL et al encountered 25 cases of anaerobic liver abscess, which represent 45% of all liver abscesses seen in the same period. Blood cultures, usually reported as negative in this condition, but were positive in 54% of cases.

Anaerobes recovered from abscess contents or blood inpatients included anaerobic or microaerophilic streptococci, Bacteroides, Fusobacterium, and Actinomyces. A literature survey disclosed an additional 165 cases of anaerobic liver abscess. Commonly described “sterile” abscesses undoubtedly reflect the lack of adequate anaerobic transport and culture techniques. Surgical drainage remains the cornerstone of treatment, but antimicrobial therapy is also important. Failure to recover existing anaerobic organisms may result in inappropriate drug therapy [8,9].

Ochsner A, DeBakey M et al did an analysis of forty-seven cases with review of the literature. The sex incidence of pyogenic hepatic abscess reveals a preponderance of occurrence in the male, 67.4 per cent in the collected series and 70.2 per cent in the authors’. Pyogenic liver abscesses can be caused also by direct extension from contiguous supplicative processes, trauma, and by transportation of microorganisms through the hepatic artery from distant foci [10].

The Bantu inhabitants of Durban suffer from an acute, ulcerative type of amoebic colitis frequently associated with liver abscess. This has enabled Powell SJ, Mac Leod I et al in the Amoebiasis Research Unit there to compare the efficacy of various forms of treatment, and to search for a drug that will heal both the intestinal and hepatic forms. They reported that the use of metronidazole, better known for its effect on trichomonal infections appears to be an effective treatment for both amoebic colitis and liver abscess. There were virtually no side-effects [11]. In our study most of the cases were managed with USG guided percutaneous aspiration (45%) or conservatively with antibiotics alone (30%). Pigtail drainage of abscess was necessary in 13.3% cases.

We also performed laparoscopic drainage of liver abscess in 8.3% patients. In only two patients (3.3%) laparotomy was done due to intraperitoneal rupture of abscess. Due to advanced imaging modalities, investigations and effective antibiotics liver abscess can be diagnosed early and treated accordingly. Due to which overall stay in the hospital has been reduced significantly. In our study most of the patients were discharged within 8 to 14 days (40%) followed by 0 to 7 days (31.66%).

Sharma MP, Rai RR et al did a study with an objective to determine the value of needle aspiration in uncomplicated amoebic liver abscess. It was a randomised case-control study with a minimum follow-up of one year, comparing patients treated with drugs alone with those treated with additional needle aspiration. Clinical improvement was similar in both groups of patients. Improvement in haematological and biochemical variables and rates of healing of cavities were also similar. The authors concluded that chemotherapy with potent tissue amoebicial drugs such as metronidazole is optimally effective in treating amoebic liver abscess, and in uncomplicated cases routine aspiration is not required.

Similar study was done by Hanna RM, Dahniya MH et al which emphasized percutaneous catheter drainage in drug-resistant amoebic liver abscesses. Percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) of 22 amoebic liver abscesses was done in 19 patients who had failed to respond to amoebicidal therapy. PCD combined with amoebicidal therapy not only expedited recovery, but was curative in all 19 patients.

There were no complications. So it was concluded that PCD is a most useful adjunct to drug therapy and recommend its routine use in the management of drug-resistant amoebic liver abscesses [12,13].
Donovan AJ, Yellin AE et al did their work on hepatic abscess. They inferred that hepatic abscess—amoebic or pyogenic can be diagnosed with great accuracy by either ultrasonography or computed tomographic (CT) scanning. For cases that fail to respond to therapy with amoebicides, closed drainage guided by CT or ultrasound is performed. If drainage of a pyogenic abscess is required, the preferable technique is with a percutaneous CT- or ultrasound-directed catheter.

Open surgical drainage should be reserved for those cases in which a celiotomy is required for other purposes or for the patient who has failed a course of appropriate antibiotic therapy and closed percutaneous drainage is not feasible. Rajak CL, Gupta S et al did a study that was designed to determine and compare the efficacy of sonographically guided percutaneous needle aspiration and percutaneous catheter drainage in the treatment of liver abscesses. Needle aspiration, if limited to two attempts, has a high failure rate [14,15].

In a similar study Barnes PF, De KC et al did a comparison of amoebic and pyogenic abscess of the liver. Sonography detected all cases of amoebic abscess and missed the lesions in 2 of 39 patients with pyogenic abscess. Abscess cultures yielded pathogens in 90% of cases of pyogenic disease, while blood cultures were positive in 50%. Five of 20 patients with positive blood cultures had additional organisms isolated from the abscess that would have required adjustment of antibiotics for optimal coverage.

The authors concluded that all pyogenic abscesses should be aspirated to guide antibiotic therapy. In amoebic abscess, the diagnosis was usually based on clinical and sonographic findings. Improved awareness of this disease may decrease morbidity and mortality from this treatable condition [16].

Thompson Jr JE, Forlenza S et al inferred that most patients were from countries endemic for parasitic disease. For assessment of factors that might predict metronidazole treatment failures, multiple parameters were analyzed. Of the factors evaluated, only timing of clinical response correlated with successful therapy. Therefore, early diagnosis of amebic liver abscess in patients from endemic areas and treatment with metronidazole will result in successful therapy in 85% of cases. Surgical intervention or alternative medical therapy is indicated for those patients who do not respond after 72 hours of metronidazole therapy. Stain SC, Yellin AE et al studied modern treatment options for pyogenic liver abscess. Open surgical drainage has been the treatment of choice for pyogenic liver abscess. The results of their study confirm that pyogenic liver abscess can be successfully treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics and aspiration or percutaneous catheter drainage. Open surgical drainage is reserved for patients in whom treatment fails or who require celiotomy for concurrent disease [17,18].

Ethical approval: Taken

Conclusion

Early diagnosis of amebic liver abscess in patients from endemic areas and treatment with metronidazole will result in successful therapy in 85% of cases. Surgical intervention or alternative medical therapy is indicated for those patients who do not respond after 72 hours of metronidazole therapy. Complications of liver abscess and mortality rate has been significantly reduced due to early diagnosis and less invasive procedure.

If abscess cavity is larger ad/or filling repeatedly, continues drainage of liver abscess with Pig tail catheter along with antibiotics is required. Laparoscopic drainage of liver abscess is a newer modality with fewer complications and can be used as alternative to open surgical drainage or in recurrent abscess.

What this study add to existing knowledge: Earlier open surgical drainage was the main stay of treatment. With advanced imaging modalities and antibiotics this approach has been shifted more towards conservative or minimally invasive procedures.

The modern day ultrasound and other non-invasive imaging techniques had greatly revolutionized the diagnosis and management of the liver abscess. Conservative management with IV antibiotics and USG guided percutaneous aspiration of liver abscess are most frequent treatment modalities used now; with fewer complications.
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